Supreme Court, U.S.
FILED
05-155 JUL 22 2005
OFFICE OF THE CLERK

No. 05-

IN THE

Supreme Court of the United States

SAUDI BASIC INDUSTRIES CORPORATION,
Petitioner,

v.

MOBIL YANBU PETROCHEMICAL COMPANY, INC., AND EXXON CHEMICAL ARABIA, INC.,
Respondents.

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE SUPREME COURT OF DELAWARE

PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI

DONALD B. AYER
GREGORY A. CASTANIAS
LAWRENCE D. ROSENBERG
JONES DAY
51 Louisiana Avenue, N.W.
Washington, DC 20001

KENNETH R. ADAMO
JONES DAY
North Point
901 Lakeside Avenue
Cleveland, OH 44114

SETH P. WAXMAN
Counsel of Record
EDWARD C. DUMONT
WILMER CUTLER PICKERING
HALE AND DORR LLP
2445 M Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20037
(202) 663-6000

STEVEN P. LEHOTSKY
WILMER CUTLER PICKERING
HALE AND DORR LLP
60 State Street
Boston, MA 02109

QUESTION PRESENTED

This case arises out of a dispute governed by the law of Saudi Arabia but litigated in the Delaware state courts. The question presented is:

Whether a state court deciding a matter of foreign law is required, as a matter of federal common law, to receive and give respectful consideration to an official statement by the relevant foreign sovereign explaining the substance of its law.

RULE 29.6 STATEMENT

Petitioner Saudi Basic Industries Corporation is organized under the laws of the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. The Kingdom owns 70% of SABIC's stock. No publicly held company owns 10% or more of SABIC's stock.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

QUESTION PRESENTED i

RULE 29.6 STATEMENT ii

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES vii

INTRODUCTION 1

OPINIONS BELOW 3

JURISDICTION 3

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED 3

STATEMENT 4

1. The Parties' Contract Dispute 5

2. The Saudi Law Issues 5

3. The Trial Court's Rulings 10

4. The Saudi Ministry's Statement 11

5. Proceedings on Appeal 12

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION 14

I. THE DELAWARE SUPREME COURT'S REFUSAL TO CONSIDER THE SAUDI MINISTRY'S STATEMENT CONFLICTS WITH THE RULE APPLIED IN THE FEDERAL AND MOST STATE COURTS 14

A. The Federal Courts And Most States Would Consider An Official Statement Of Foreign Law, Even If Presented For The First Time On Appeal 15

B. Delaware Has Joined The Minority Of States That Apply A More Restrictive Rule 19

TABLE OF CONTENTS—Continued

Page

II. FEDERAL COMMON LAW BASED ON PRINCIPLES OF INTERNATIONAL COMITY REQUIRES ANY U.S. COURT, STATE OR FEDERAL, TO RECEIVE AND CONSIDER AN OFFICIAL STATEMENT FROM A FOREIGN SOVEREIGN EXPLAINING THE SUBSTANCE OF THAT SOVEREIGN'S LAW 20

A. Principles Of International Comity Bind Both Federal And State Courts To Consider And Respect The Interests Of Foreign Sovereigns 20

B. Federal Common Law Requires Any U.S. Court To Give Respectful Consideration To A Foreign Sovereign's Official Statement Of Its Law 22

III. THE NEED FOR A GOVERNING FEDERAL RULE OF COMITY WARRANTS REVIEW IN THIS CASE 24

A. The Delaware Supreme Court's Refusal To Consider The Saudi Ministry's Statement Led It To Affirm A Judgment That Is Fundamentally Unreliable As A Matter Of Saudi Law 25

B. Global Economic And Political Interdependence Require That All U.S. Courts Show Appropriate Respect For Foreign Sovereigns When Purporting To Apply Those Sovereigns' Laws 27

CONCLUSION 30

APPENDIX A: Opinion of the Supreme Court of Delaware (866 A.2d 1) 1a

TABLE OF CONTENTS—Continued

Page

APPENDIX B: Supreme Court of Delaware Order Denying Motions for Remand and for Acceptance into the Appellate Record (Jan. 29, 2004) 61a

APPENDIX C: Delaware Superior Court's Post-trial opinion re: Enhanced Damages (2003 WL 22016864) 63a

APPENDIX D: Delaware Superior Court's Post-trial opinion re: Saudi law of *ghasb* (2003 WL 22016843) 75a

APPENDIX E: Transcripts of Proceedings—Judge's Ruling (Del. Super. Ct. Mar. 7, 2003) 85a

APPENDIX F: Supreme Court of Delaware Order Denying Motion for Reargument (Feb. 22, 2005) 89a

APPENDIX G: Appellant's Motion for Reargument En Banc (Del. Jan. 24, 2005) 95a

APPENDIX H: Appellant's Notice of Submission and Motion for Acceptance of the Saudi Arabian Goverment's Official Statement of Saudi Law into the Appellate Record (Del. Nov. 7, 2003) 99a

APPENDIX I: Appellant's Reply in Support of Notice of Submission and Motion for Acceptance of the Saudi Arabian Goverment's Official Statement of Saudi Law into the Appellate Record (Del. Nov. 7, 2003) 105a

APPENDIX J: Appellant's Motion for Remand for Reconsideration of Certain of SABIC's Post-Trial Motions for Judgment as a Matter of Law, or in the Alternative for a New Trial, in Light of the Saudi Government's Official Statement of Saudi Law (Del. Nov. 17, 2003) 113a

TABLE OF CONTENTS—Continued

Page

APPENDIX K: Appellant's Reply in Support of Remand for Reconsideration of Certain of SABIC's Post-Trial Motions for Judgment as a Matter of Law, or in the Alternative for New Trial, in Light of the Saudi Government's Official Statement of Saudi Law (Del. Dec. 1, 2003) 119a

APPENDIX L: Expert Report of Wael B. Hallaq (Sept. 12, 2002) 125a

APPENDIX M: Affidavit of Frank E. Vogel (Sept. 16, 2002) 135a

APPENDIX N: Second Declaration of Wael B. Hallaq (Oct. 16, 2002) 155a

APPENDIX O: Rebuttal Affidavit of Frank E. Vogel (Oct. 22, 2002) 157a

APPENDIX P: Report of the Independent Expert Herbert S. Wolfson (Feb. 21, 2003) 169a

APPENDIX Q: Supplementary Report of the Independent Expert Herbert S. Wolfson (Mar. 5, 2003) 219a

APPENDIX R: Affidavit of Frank E. Vogel Concerning Motions for Judgment as a Matter of Law (Apr. 4, 2003) 235a

APPENDIX S: Post-Trial Declaration of Wael B. Hallaq (Apr. 24, 2003) 255a

APPENDIX T: Rebuttal Affidavit of Frank E. Vogel Concerning Motions for Judgment as a Matter of Law (June 4, 2003) 271a

APPENDIX U: Statement of the Saudi Arabian Ministry of Justice 299a

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

CASES

Page(s)

Access Telecom, Inc. v. *MCI Telecommunications Corp.*, 197 F.3d 694 (5th Cir. 1999) 16, 17
Ahumada v. *Dow Chemical Co.*, 992 S.W.2d 555 (Tex. App. 1999) 19
American Insurance Ass'n v. *Garamendi*, 539 U.S. 396 (2003) 21
Asahi Metal Industry Co. v. *Superior Ct.*, 480 U.S. 102 (1987) 21
Banco Nacional de Cuba v. *Sabbatino*, 376 U.S. 398 (1964) 21, 22, 23, 24
Bianchi v. *Savino Del Bene International Freight Forwarders, Inc.*, 770 N.E.2d 684 (Ill. App. Ct. 2002) 19
Boyle v. *United Technologies Corp.*, 487 U.S. 500 (1988) 22
Bridas Corp. v. *Unocal Corp.*, 16 S.W.3d 893 (Tex. App. 2000) 28
Clearfield Trust Co. v. *United States*, 318 U.S. 363 (1943) 23
Cuba Railroad Co. v. *Crosby*, 222 U.S. 473 (1912) 15, 28
Exxon Mobil Corp. v. *SABIC*, 125 S. Ct. 1517 (2005) 6
Exxon Mobil Corp. v. *SABIC*, 364 F.3d 102 (3d Cir. 2004), *rev'd*, 125 S. Ct. 1517 (2005) 6
F.W. Stone Engineering Co. v. *Petroleos Mexicanos*, 42 A.2d 57 (Pa. 1945) 24
Finance One Public Co. Ltd. v. *Lehman Bros. Special Financing, Inc.*, ___ F.3d ___, 2005 WL 1619852 (2d Cir. July 12, 2005) 17
Gau Shan Co. v. *Bankers Trust Co.*, 956 F.2d 1349 (6th Cir. 1992) 28
General Electric Co. v. *Deutz A.G.*, 270 F.3d 144 (3d Cir. 2001) 28
Grupo Protexa, S.A. v. *All American Marine Slip*, 20 F.3d 1224 (3d Cir. 1994) 17

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES—Continued

Page(s)

Hilton v. *Guyot*, 159 U.S. 113 (1895) 20
In re Estate of Blak, 150 P.2d 567 (Cal. Dist. Ct. App. 1944) 18
In re Estate of Hosova, 387 P.2d 305 (Mont. 1963) 18
In re Maxwell Corp., 93 F.3d 1036 (2d Cir. 1996) 20
In re Oil Spill by Amoco Cadiz, 954 F.2d 1279 (7th Cir. 1992) 16
Jota v. *Texaco, Inc.*, 157 F.3d 153 (2d Cir. 1998) 22
Kalmich v. *Bruno*, 553 F.2d 549 (7th Cir. 1977) 17
Karaha Bodas Co. v. *Perusahaan Pertambangan Minyak Dan Gas Bumi Negara*, 313 F.3d 70 (2d Cir. 2002) 16, 17, 22
M/S Bremen v. *Zapata Off-Shore Co.*, 407 U.S. 1 (1972) 23
Mitsubishi Motors Corp. v. *Soler Chrysler-Plymouth, Inc.*, 473 U.S. 614 (1985) 23
Mobile Marine Sales, Ltd. v. *M/V Prodromos*, 776 F.2d 85 (3d Cir. 1985) 17
National City Bank of New York v. *Republic of China*, 348 U.S. 356 (1955) 24
National Equipment Rental, Ltd. v. *Estherville Ford, Inc.*, 313 N.W.2d 538 (Iowa 1981) 19
Nessi v. *Sudovest Group, Inc.*, Nos. A04-1461 & A04-1465, 2005 WL 832199 (Minn. Ct. App. June 28, 2005) 19
Panama Processes, S.A. v. *Cities Service Co.*, 796 P.2d 276 (Okla. 1990) 19
Price v. *Socialist People's Libyan Arab Jamahiriya*, 294 F.3d 82 (D.C. Cir. 2002) 20
Romero v. *International Terminal Operating Co.*, 358 U.S. 354 (1959) 20
SABIC v. *Exxon Corp.*, 364 F.3d 106 (3d Cir. 2004) 5
SABIC v. *ExxonMobil Corp.*, 194 F. Supp. 2d 378 (D.N.J. 2002), *vacated in part*, 364 F.3d 102 (3d Cir. 2004), *and rev'd*, 125 S. Ct. 1517 (2005) 6
Sidali v. *INS*, 107 F.3d 191 (3d Cir. 1997) 17

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES—Continued

Page(s)

Société Nationale Industrielle Aérospatiale v. *District Court*, 482 U.S. 522 (1987) 20, 21, 22
Stuart v. *United States*, 813 F.2d 243 (9th Cir. 1987), *rev'd on other grounds*, 489 U.S. 353 (1989) 17
Texas Industries, Inc. v. *Radcliff Materials, Inc.*, 451 U.S. 630, 640 (1981) 22, 24
The Schooner Exchange v. *M'Faddon*, 11 U.S. (7 Cranch) 116 (1812) (mem.) 20
Torres v. *Southern Peru Copper Corp.*, 113 F.3d 540 (5th Cir. 1997) 23
United Mexican States v. *Ashley*, 556 S.W.2d 784 (Tex. 1977) 24
United States of Mexico v. *Schmuck*, 56 N.E.2d 577 (N.Y. 1944) 24
United States v. *Belmont*, 301 U.S. 324 (1937) 22
United States v. *McNab*, 331 F.3d 1228 (11th Cir. 2003), *cert. denied*, 540 U.S. 1177 (2004) 16, 18
United States v. *Mitchell*, 985 F.2d 1275 (4th Cir. 1993) 16, 17, 28
United States v. *Pink*, 315 U.S. 203 (1942) 16, 17, 22, 24
Verlinden B.V. v. *Central Bank of Nigeria*, 461 U.S. 480 (1983) 21
Whallon v. *Lynn*, 230 F.3d 450 (1st Cir. 2000) 15
Zschernig v. *Miller*, 389 U.S. 429 (1968) 21, 22, 23

STATUTES AND RULES

Fed. R. Civ. P. 44.1 ..... 4, 16
Fed. R. Crim. P. 26.1 16
Ala. R. Civ. P. 44.1 18
Alaska R. Evid. 202(c)(4), (d) 18
Ariz. R. Civ. P. 44.1 18
Ark. R. Civ. P. 44.1 18
Cal. Evid. Code §§ 310(b), 452(f), 453 18
Colo. R. Civ. P. 44.1 18
Conn. Gen. Stat. § 52-163a 18

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES—Continued

Page(s)

D.C. Super. Ct. Civ. R. 44.1 18
Del. R. Evid. 202(e) 3, 19
Del. Super. Ct. R. Civ. P. 44.1 ..... 4, 19
Fla. Stat. Ann. §§ 90.202(4), 90.203 18
Ga. Code Ann. § 9-11-43(c) 18
Haw. R. Civ. P. 44.1 18
Idaho R. Civ. P. 44(d) 18
Ind. Trial P. R. 44.1(A) 18
Iowa Code § 622.61 19
Kan. Stat. § 60-409(b) 18
La. R. Evid. art. 202(B)(f) 18
Mass. R. Civ. P. 44.1 18
Me. R. Civ. P. 44A 18
Mich. Comp. Laws § 600.2114a 18
Minn. R. Evid. 201 19
Minn. Stat. §§ 599.01 *et seq.* 19
Miss. Code § 13-1-149 18
Mont. R. Civ. P. 44.1 18
N.C. R. Civ. P. § 1A-1, Rule 44.1 18
N.D. R. Civ. P. 44.1 18
N.J. R. Evid. 201(a), (f) 18
N.M. Dist. Ct. R. Civ. P. 1-044(B) 18
N.Y. R. Evid. 4511(b), (c) 18
Nev. R. Civ. P. 44.1 18
Ohio Civ. R. 44.1(B) 18
12 Okla. Stat. § 2201(B)(2) 18
Ore. Rev. Stat. § 40.090(6) 18
42 Pa. Cons. Stat. § 5327 18
R.I. Super. Ct. R. Civ. P. 44.1 18
S.C. R. Civ. P. 44(d) 18
S.D. Codified Laws § 15-6-44.1 18
Tenn. R. Evid. 202 18
Tex. R. Evid. 203 18
Utah R. Civ. P. 44(f) 18
Va. Code Ann. § 8.01-386 18
Vt. R. Civ. P. 441.(a) 18

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES—Continued

Page(s)

W. Va. R. Civ. P. 44.1 18
Wash. Super. Ct. Civ. R. 44.1 18
Wyo. R. Civ. P. 44.1 18

LEGISLATIVE MATERIALS

Hearings on H.R. 5373 et al. Before the House Committee on the Judiciary, 77th Cong., 2d Sess. (1942) 15

OTHER AUTHORITIES

Jessup, *The Doctrine of* Erie Railroad *v.* Tompkins *Applied to International Law*, 33 Am. J. Int'l L. 740 (1939) 24
Sprankling & Lanyi, *Pleading and Proof of Foreign Law in American Courts*, 19 Stan. J. Int'l L. 3 (1983) 28
Uniform Interstate & International Procedure Act 4.03, 13 U.L.A. 498 (1980) 18
White, *Foreign Law, Politics and Litigants in U.S. Courts: A Discussion of Issues Raised by* Transportes Aereos Nacionales, S.A. *v.* De Brenes, 27 U. Miami Inter-Am. L. Rev. 161 (1995) 19
9 C. Wright & A. Miller, Federal Practice & Procedure Civil 2d § 2446 (1995) 17